



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 03/01/2004

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/854,143	05/11/2001	Ye Wang	944-001.047	6804
4955 7:	590 03/01/2004		EXAMINER	
WARE FRES	SOLA VAN DER SL	ARMSTRONG, ANGELA A		
ADOLPHSON	, LLP			
BRADFORD GREEN BUILDING 5			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224			2654	175
MONDOE CT	06468			15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/854,143	WANG ET AL
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Angela A. Armstrong	2654
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 December 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 9 and 11 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-8,10 and 12-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11).	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	

Art Unit: 2654

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on December 09, 2003 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, and 12-13, 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyamori et al (US Patent No. 5,737,720) in view of Yang et al, "An Inter-Channel Redundancy Removal Approach for High Quality Multi-channel Audio Compression," Presented at the 109th AES Convention, Sept. 22-25, 2000, Los Angeles, CA.

Regarding claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, and 12-13, 15-17, Miyamori teaches low bit rate multichannel audio coding methods using non-linear adaptive bit allocation. Specifically, Miyamori teaches coding audio signals in a sound system having plurality of sound channels for providing M sets of audio signals form input signals, wherein a plurality of intra-channel signal redundancy Application/Control Number: 09/854,143

Art Unit: 2654

removal devices are used to reduce the audio signal for providing first signals indicative of the reduced audio signals at col. 7, line 8 continuing to col. 9, line 60.

Additionally, Miyamori teaches:

converting the first audio signals in at least two of the plurality of sound channels to second signals in said at least two sound channels at col. 9, lines 28-37,

implementation of a modified discrete cosine transform at col. 8, line 54 continuing to col. 9, line 20,

groups of multiple channels at col. 16, lines 8-12,

accounting for the characteristic of the hearing sense of humans at col. 9, line 28-30; col. 15, lines 3-11,

transmission or storage of the coded audio at col. 8, lines 3-5.

Miyamori does not specifically teach implementation of reducing the inter-channel signal redundancy in second signals of integers or operatively engaging the second signals in at least two sound channels, separately from the intra-channel signal redundancy removal. However, inter-channel signal redundancy was well known in the art.

In a similar field of endeavor, Yang teaches an inter-channel redundancy removal approach for the purpose of improving the performance of the compression system for use with multi-channel audio.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the system of Miyamori, to implement reducing inter-channel signal redundancy, as suggested by Yang, for the purpose of improving the performance of the compression system for use with multi-channel audio, as also suggested by Yang.

Application/Control Number: 09/854,143 Page 4

Art Unit: 2654

3. Claims 4 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miyamori et al (US Patent No. 5,737,720) in view of Yang et al, "An Inter-Channel Redundancy Removal Approach for High Quality Multi-channel Audio Compression," Presented at the 109th AES Convention, Sept. 22-25, 2000, Los Angeles, CA, and further in view of Chen et al, "Video Compression Using Integer DCT", Image Processing, 2000, Proceedings 2000 International

Miyamori and Yang do not specifically teach implementation of reducing the interchannel signal redundancy in second signals of integers using an integer-to-integer discrete cosine transform.

Chen teaches that the integer DCT can be implemented in a MPEG coder, is reversible, and is very suitable for source coding, and communication in a mobile environment.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill at the time of the invention to modify the coding system of Miyamori and Yang to implement an integer DCT, as taught by Chen, for the purpose of improving the performance of the coder and to provide for implementation in a mobile environment.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 9 and 11 are allowed.

Conference, vol. 2, pages 844-845.

Art Unit: 2654

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Angela A. Armstrong whose telephone number is 703-308-6258. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 7:30-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richemond Dorvil can be reached on (703) 305-9645. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Angela A. Armstrong Examiner Art Unit 2654

AAA February 22, 2004

Angela Armstrong